From Nils Even Petterson To Mr Prebensen

your Ref 64440/14, MMy FAX No 15
The court has this website: http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=home&c= DATE =
In their letter to me the court refers to article no 35 § 1 which states:

 The Court may only deal with the matter after all domestic remedies have been exhausted, according to the generally recognised rules of international law, and within a period of six months from the date on which the final decision was taken.

I would like to inform the court that my application was sent within the six month deadline, as a registered letter from the Philippines. It was sent on September 3 2014 with tracking NO (Phillipine post) RR100867875PH.

The court also writes:

"I should remind you that the six-month period referred to in Article 35 § 1 of the Convention is interrupted only when a complete application is <u>sent</u> to the court".

I have sent a complete application, and not one which is wet and partly impossible to read, which the court states in their letter to me.. In their letter to me the court also refers to their Rule no 47. Rule no 47 no 1 states, among other things that:

"The Court may for good cause nevertheless

decide that a different date shall be considered to be the date of introduction".

I would like to inform the court that my application can be found on my web-site:

www.rettssikkerhet.com . In the meny, choose "Rettssaka" and scroll down to the document/link named

A 290 klage til EMD of Sept 3, 2014 mot Norge og rettsvesenet. Nils Even Pettersen –

Also the court wrote to me:

"The court will not answer any letters or telephone calls concerning this incomplete file".

But I noted that the court states their fax no: +33 3 88 41 27 30, so I guess the court means that I can send a fax and may be they will answer the fax (but not a phone call or letter)?

Rule 47 no 1 of the Rules of the Court (In Nils Pettersens case, read especially what is underlined in the text below, as this is the most relevant to my case/application):

(Contents of an individual application)

5. The date of introduction of the application for the purposes of Article 35 § 1 of the Convention shall as a general rule be considered to be the date of the first communication from the applicant setting out, even summarily, the subject matter of the application, provided that a duly completed application form has been submitted within the time-limits laid down by the Court. The Court may for good cause nevertheless decide that a different date shall be considered to be the date of introduction.

6. Applicants shall keep the Court informed of any change of address and of all circumstances relevant to the application.

circumstances relevant to the application.

Nuls E. Rulle

PART

M

14/11-18

**** ACTIVITY REPORT ***

*** ACTIVITY REPORT ***

57. 7ME	DESTINATION NUMBER	DESTINATION ID	NO.	MODE		PGS.	RESULT
*16/03 09:24	51123182		5290	AUTO RX	ECM	1	OK 00141
*16/03 09:27	51123182		5291	AUTO RX	ECM	1	OK 00'4
*16/03 10:31	51123182		5292	AUTO RX	EC#	1	OK 00'5
*17/03 03:11	51123182		5293	AUTO RX	ECM	2	OK 01'22
*17/03 07:44	51123182		5294	AUTO RX	ECM	1	OK 00'40
*17/03 11:14	51123182		5295	AUTO RX	ECM	1	OK 00'44
*17/03 11:52	33806412		5296	MANUAL RX	ECM	1	OK 00'5
*17/03 11:56			5291	AUTO RX		1	1.00 00
7.00							0 #995
*17/03 11:57			5292	AUTO RX		1	NG 00'00
77.2						}	0 #995
. * 18/03 03:58			5294	AUTO RX		1	NG 00'0
	**************************************		Transporter	in the second			0 #995
*18/03 04:06	51123182		£:	MANUAL RX	ECM	1	OK 01'0
* 15,000 0 X:0 8			5296	MANUAL RX		1	NG 00'0
			457.035	**************************************			0 ‡99 5
*16/03 06:25	51123182		3	MANUAL SIX	EOM	2	OK 30.7
*18/03 07:3 4	51123:82		- 2	WWW.RI	ECN	g.	OK 80'5
*22/08 00:47	37001501		0240	TRANSMIT		0	WG DC'3
		그 이 집은 얼마라면 모으는 얼마님	NATE OF THE PARTY			*	O STOP
*22/08 00:51	and the second of the second o		7	TRANSMIT	ECM	f	OK 01 0
 *22/08 00:57	37001501		0242	TRANSMIT	G3	0	NG 00'2
							0
*22/08 00:58	37001501		1	TRANSMIT	ECM	1	OK 00'2
*22/08 17:53	0033388412730		1	TRANSMIT	ECM		1. 18. 4.
14/11 03:58	0033388412730		0245	TRANSMIT	ECM	5	OK 0 4







T: +33 (0)3 88 41 20 18 F: +33 (0)3 88 41 27 30 www.echr.coe.int

Nils PETTERSEN c/o Glenn Reinhart Gundersen Egelundveien 4 4839 Arendal NORWAY

06/11/2014

ECHR-Aeng6

Our Ref. 64440/14

Dear Sir,

The Registry has received your correspondence of 03/09/2014.

You have not complied with the requirements set out in Rule 47 of the Rules of Court.

• The file is wet and some of the documents are stuck together.

As a result, your complaints cannot be examined by the Court. Please note that no documents or submissions you have provided have been kept.

If you wish the Court to examine your complaints, you must submit a complete and valid application form with all supporting documents as required by Rule 47.

To find out how to make a valid application, you can visit the Court's website, where the process of lodging an application is explained (www.echr.coe.int/applicants). This information is provided in the languages of the Council of Europe member States.

I should remind you that the six-month period referred to in Article 35 § 1 of the Convention is interrupted only when a complete application is sent to the Court.

The Court will not answer any letters or telephone calls concerning this incomplete file.

Yours faithfully,

Søren Prebensen Legal Secretary



~ 7 -

Tracking No.: RR100867875PH

DATE & TIME	STATUS OF YOUR ITEM	OTHER INFO	COUNTRY
9/12/2014 3:56:12 PM	Receive item at origin country gateway		PHILIPPINES
9/16/2014 12:52:14 PM	Prepare dispatch to destination country		PHILIPPINES
9/25/2014 2:00:00 PM	Item delivered		FRANCE

T	·
Track another item	
Please enter the International Item Identifier you want	
to track (13 digit, without spaces), then click find.	
Find	

For further inquiries for International Mails, please contact the following: EMS: e-mail: ems.cs@phlpost.gov.ph Parcel and Registered Mail: e-mail: amed.supportservices@phlpost.gov.ph

/			REGISTR		CEIPT	·2	
	Post		RR 10	0 86°	7 875 	P H IIII I IIIIII III III II	
		REG BANTAT	1 6 1 1	R	E D ₂₀	<u> </u>	
	Pres	erve this re	CAN POS	f OF	nce in	ase of inq	uiry
		Reg. No.	Postma	ster/T	eller		

	Value Committee				
POSTAGE ACK	NOWLED	GEMENT	RECEIPT		
Mail Category:	Qua	ntity	0		
	inter	Intra	Amount		
DEMS	MING LINE	+ 313 4	1 1 1		
Registered			******		
Ordinary					
Others			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Burne France			SIL		
		7	- 840 . M		
425 gma	Legistra	1995	- t /0 . n)		
初的	Total Ca	1	F 70 30		
Fotal Amount Pai	d d		PACIN		
	11 L	A second	Con		
BAN (A) 6002	The B	<u>,</u> \	425.N		
1 . 3 . 5	F "ELIO	- \ <u>.</u>			
1 3 00	3°7.5	- \			
I was a second	FBO		ing a service of the		
JANIA 6002			An .		
\ p		THAT THE	4)0244M		
		OVANNI	MEGIVE		
\No		DOCTMA	OTED T		
POSTMAR	K	Postmaste	4) Feiter		
	• 4		**************************************		

Glen, kan jeg bruke forrige e-post jeg sendte til deg og/eller med supplerende info i undernevte tekst.

TO : PREBENSEN

Fra: Nils Even Pettersen (nils_even_pettersen@hotmail.com)

Sendt: 13. november 2014 09:57:53

Til: gundynorway@gmail.com (gundynorway@gmail.com)

I sent my application to the court of human rights on September 3, 2014 (within the 6 months deadline stated by the court as the Norwegian high court has dated their decision March 3, 2014). The court writes that my application dated September 3, was wet and not possible to read. They write that I can send it in again!

Rule no 47 of the court states that their main rule is that an application must be submitted within the time limits laid down by the court, and that the court may for good cause nevertheless decide that a different date, later than September 3, 2014, shall be considered to be accepted as the date of introduction The six months deadline for applying to the European court of human rights will be exceeded and it will be to late for me to apply if I send the application again because the first one is allegedly damaged in the mail on the way from the Philippines to France?

The fact that I sent my application as a registered letter proves that I sent this within the deadline which normally is 6 months from the date on which the final decision was taken from the Norwegian High court. This is a "good cause" that should qualify for a decision that a different date than September 3, 2014 (when my original applications was sent) to be considered valid " the date of introduction "(deadline).

There are also other reasons for the court to accept that I send my application again and that September 3, 2014, is still accepted as the date I sent in my application and that this dates is the one that will count as "interrupting the 6 month period deadline for applying to the European court of human rights".

One example is that when I asked the Norwegian High court how I could go further with their case, they were originally trying to hide the fact that is is possible to "apply to the European court of human rights within 6 months after the decision in the Norwegian high court". The court does not mention the European court as a possibility, which is incomplete information from them. To prove this I will ask you to read the e-mail I got from them as early as April 23, only 7 weeks after their decision in the Norwegian high court (not to treat my case at all, and without looking at my evidence or accepting any hearings).

NIIs Pettersen

From: hretpost@hoyesterett.no

To: nils_even_pettersen@hotmail.com

Subject: Nils Even Pettersens sak mot Hammervoll & Co.

Date: Wed, 23 Apr 2014 09:04:02 +0000

Jeg viser til Deres e-poster 11. mars og 9. og 10. april 2014, med referanse til sak nr. 2014/310 i Høyesterett.

Saken ble rettskraftig avgjort ved Høyesteretts ankeutvalgs kjennelse 3. mars 2014. Kjennelsen kan bare angripes ved begjæring om gjenåpning etter reglene i tvisteloven kapittel 31 (http://lovdata.no/dokument/NL/lov/2005-06-17-90?q=tvisteloven), og bare på grunnlag av feil ved rettergangen, jf. tvisteloven § 31-2 tredje ledd, jf. HR-2013-1051-U. Ønsker De å begjære gjenåpning på grunn av feil ved avgjørelsens innhold (avgjørelsen av Deres krav mot Advokatfirmaet John M. Hammervoll & Co DA), må begjæringen eventuelt rettes mot tingrettens dom, ved begjæring til en sideordnet og tilgrensende domstol, jf. tvisteloven § 31-1 tredje ledd. Vilkårene for gjenåpning er strenge. Begjæringen må for øvrig være inngitt innen fristene i tvisteloven § 31-6.

Med hilsen

Høyesteretts kontor 22. april 2014

Gunnar Bergby

direktør

Republic of the Philippines PHILIPPINE POSTAL CORPORATION



BANTAYAN ISLAND POST OFFICES 6047, 6052 & 6053, CEBU

OFFICE OF THE POSTMASTER

CERTIFICATION

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

This is to certify that **NILS PETTERSEN**, of legal age and a resident of Pooc, Santa Fe, Cebu, 6047 Philippines has gone to this office last September 03, 2014 to mail his documents to **THE REGISTRAR OF THE EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS** in Europe, France using the International Registered Mail Service under tracking number **RR100867875PH.**

Upon posting, the said registered mailwas in good condition until dispatched to destination country for delivery.

As per tracking result, the said registered mail was successfully delivered to the addressee on September 25, 2014 at 2:00:00 PM Philippine Standard Time.

This certification is issued upon the request of MR. NILS PETTERSEN for verification or whatever legal purposes this may serve him best.

Issued this November 17, 2014 at Bantayan Post Office, Bantayan, Cebu, 6052 Philippines.

Vanni A. Desuyo Postmaster I

Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\overline{\mathscr{P}}$ day of	2014 at the Municipality of 446 issued at Bantayan 4th
Bantayan exhibiting to me the Residence Certificate No.: and	446 issued at Bantayan Amor
January 29, 2014	· (
	Signature of Authorized Office RAWON CIVILLACKUCI
	Printed Name: Clerk of Chirt !!

Republic of the Philippines
PHILIPPINE POSTAL CORPORATION
BANTAYAN ISLAND POST OFFICES
76047, 6052 & 6053, CEBU



OFFICE OF THE POSTMASTER

CERTIFICATION

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

This is to certify that **NILS PETTERSEN**, of legal age and a resident of Pooc, Santa Fe, Cebu, 6047 Philippines has gone to this office last September 03, 2014 to mail his documents to **THE REGISTRAR OF THE EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS** in Europe, France using the International Registered Mail Service under tracking number **RR100867875PH.**

Upon posting, the said registered mailwas in good condition until dispatched to destination country for delivery.

As per tracking result, the said registered mail was successfully delivered to the addressee on September 25, 2014 at 2:00:00 PM Philippine Standard Time.

This certification is issued upon the request of MR. NILS PETTERSEN for verification or whatever legal purposes this may serve him best.

Issued this November 17, 2014 at Bantayan Post Office, Bantayan, Cebu, 6052 Philippines.

Giovanni A. Desuyo

Subscribed and sworn to before me this ೨७ day of Bantayan exhibiting to me the Residence Certificate No.: ಎ ೧೦೦೦	2014 at the Municipality of 1446 issued at Bantayan Mon
January 29, 2014	
. .	Printed Name: Title of Officer:

BANTAYAN ISLAND POST OFFICES 6047, 6052 & 6053, CEBU

MOBILE #: +639103723709 / EMAIL ADDRESS: g_vanni542@yahoo.cd

PART 2 OF 3, NOV 14-14

European Court of Human Rights, Council of Europe, 67075 Strasbourg - Cedex, France

ECHR Fax: 00 33 3 8841 27 30

Att: Søren Prebensen and his/her superior.

November 14, 2014

From Nils Even Pettersen. Your reference: 6440/14 - my fax no is 004737711769 -

My tel no is +47 900 99999 and my e-mail is nils_even_pettersen@hotmail.com

I refer to my fax, 5 pages, which I sent to your fax no 0033388412730 at 03.58 this morning.

I am sending my application from my fax no 004737711769 (3 pages, 11 pages which is the application form and 74 pages attachments). This is because you said you did not receive my application in good condition — you wrote to me that the papers seem to have got wet by the time it reached you in France (see next page of this fax). But what about the USB memory stick which is glued to attachment page 30? Is this also damaged? Do I also have to send a new one?

As I wrote on page 1 in the fax I sent at 3.58 this morning, I am hoping that the court will consider their rule no 47, no 1. You did not mention anything about this possibility that the court may for good cause nevertheless decide that a later date than September 3, 2014, to be the date of introduction. I am writing this because September 3 was exactly 6 months after the Norwegian high court dated their decision not to treat my case (no hearings, no trial). So, if I send my application one more time to you in a letter, it will be sent later than 6 months after the Norwegian high court gave their final decision. Thus I fear you will give this a reason for not treating my case. This is because of the words in your letter to me dated November 6, 2014 (see next page), where you wrote: "I should remind you that the six-month period referred to in Article 35 §1 of the Convention is interrupted only when a complete application is <u>sent</u> to the Court" (I did send a complete application, not wet and damaged – which can be verified by the postman who received this from me in the posthouse). Also on September 5 I uploaded my application on <u>www.rettssikkerhet.com</u>

So, will you accept that you use the date of September 3 as the date I submitted my application? If you will not, I want to know if you recommend that I send a new application? Will November then be the month you consider I submitted my application (2 months too late)?

Page 3 of this letter shows my receipt to prove I sent my application on September 3, and that it was received by the court on September 25 at 2 p.m. You dated your letter to me November 6, and it was received in Norway November 12. So you took 40 days to find out that the papers "are wet and some of the documents are stuck"? And the papers were not dry when you wrote your letter to me? How many of the documents "are stuck together" — which page numbers? And again: what about the USB memory stick which I stuck to my attachments page 30? Still working fine?

All this is a bit strange, as I put plastic around the papers before I put it in an envelope and also for other reasons. Hope you can understand this and my reasons for being suspicions as to where the damage was caused .

Page 2 is your letter to me, page 3 proves when I sent the application, page 4-14 is my application = the 11 pages form and 15-88 my attachments to my application. (Circles around the numbering at the bottom of each page).

87 pages follows (may be I will have to send this as more than one fax – do you have e-mail?)

Noto E. Pelferson

							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	-	
ST. T	ME	DESTINATION NUMBER	DESTINATION	ID	NO.	MODE		PGS.	RES	SULT
*17/03	11:57	·			5292	AUTO RX		1	NG	00,00
							[0	#995
*18/03	03:58				5294	AUTO RX		1	NG	00,00
									0	#995
*18/03	04:06	51123182				MANUAL RX	ECM	1	OK	01 00
*18/03	04:08				5296	MANUAL RX		1	NG	00'00
			}				l		0	#995
*18/03		51123182	1			MANUAL RX	ECM	1	OK	00'41
*18/03		51123182	1			MANUAL RX	ECM	1	OK	00'51
*22/08	00:47	37001501			0240	TRANSMIT		0	NG	00'00
										STOP
*22/08		37001501				TRANSMIT	ECM	2	OK	01'06
*22/08	00:57	37001501	Ì		0242	TRANSMIT	G3	0		00'27
							5011		0	00106
*22/08	t t	37001501				TRANSMIT	ECM	1	OK	00'22
*22/08		0033388412730	ì		i .	TRANSMIT	ECM	5	OK	01'42
*14/11	1	0033388412730	1		0245		ECM	5	OK	01'42
*14/11	05:40	0033388412730	1		0246	TRANSMIT	ECM	8	NG 8	02'21
14/11	05.40	000000110700			0047	TDANCMIT		0	1	#001 00'00
14/11	05:49	0033388412730			0247	TRANSMIT		U	i	STOP
1 / / 1 1	05:55	0033388412730			0240	TRANSMIT	ECM	3	l	01'20
14/11	03.33	0033366412730	'	-	0240	II/VIAOMIII	FOIAI	ا	3	01 20
1//11	06:01	0033388412730			0250	TRANSMIT	ECM	22	OK	06'57
· ·	06:09	0033388412730	Į.	į	ł	TRANSMIT	FOIN	0	NG	00'00
1,47,11	00.09	000000412700			0231	ITAMONT		J	0	#001
14/11	06:14	0033388412730)		0252	TRANSMIT	ECM	23	_	06'39
	06:25	0033388412730	•	,)	TRANSMIT	ECM		OK	05'48
1	06:34	0033388412730	1			TRANSMIT	ECM		OK	08'04
		220000112100	<u> </u>						L	





T:#33 (0)3 88 41 20 18 F: +33 (0)3 88 41 27 30 www.echr.coe.int

Nils PETTERSEN c/o Glenn Reinhart Gundersen Egelundveien 4 4839 Arendal NORWAY

06/11/2014

ECHR-Aeng6

Our Ref. 64440/14

Dear Sir,

The Registry has received your correspondence of 03/09/2014.

You have not complied with the requirements set out in Rule 47 of the Rules of Court.

• The file is wet and some of the documents are stuck together.

As a result, your complaints cannot be examined by the Court. Please note that no documents or submissions you have provided have been kept.

If you wish the Court to examine your complaints, you must submit a complete and valid application form with all supporting documents as required by Rule 47.

To find out how to make a valid application, you can visit the Court's website, where the process of lodging an application is explained (www.echr.coe.int/applicants). This information is provided in the languages of the Council of Europe member States.

I should remind you that the six-month period referred to in Article 35 § 1 of the Convention is interrupted only when a complete application is sent to the Court.

The Court will not answer any letters or telephone calls concerning this incomplete file.

Yours faithfully, For the Registrar

Søren Prebensen Legal Secretary



Tracking No.: RR100867875PH

DATE & TIME STATUS OF YOUR ITEM OTHER INFO COUNTRY

9/12/2014 3:56:12 PM Receive item at origin country gateway PHILIPPINES

9/16/2014 12:52:14 PM Prepare dispatch to destination country

Track another item Please enter the International Item Identifier you want to track (13 digit, without spaces), then click find.

9/25/2014 2:00:00 PM

Find

Item delivered

For further inquiries for International Mails, please contact the following: EMS: e-mail: ems.cs@phlpost.gov.ph Parcel and Registered Mail: e-mail: amed.supportservices@phlpost.gov.ph

	REGISTRY RECEIPT	
Post	RR 100 867 875 PH	
į	EGISTERED ₂₀	 .
ع Preserv	TAYAN POST OFFICE this receipt (CE beforence in case of inquir	ry
R	Postmester/Teller	

			sui .
POSTAGE ACK	NOWLED	GEMENT	RECEIPT
Mail Category:	Qua	T -	
	Inter	Intra	Amount
DEMS			-
Registered			
Ordinary			1.
Others +			
Junge, trance			6/10
A)Famt	A 1	Ć.	Stork
485 9110	Legistra	130 m	7 60. N
	When Ca	rd -	busin
Total Amount Pai	d .		k
	The D		925.n
· a a Stitute	E B CEIC	E \	1 100 11
	ar ok.	\ :	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	o gu		
13 134 002			4.
BAN A 6002		110	Allow, At
\		IDVANA	A VALOUT
1 2:0:-	¥	DOCT	AT DEST
POSTMAR	Ŕ	Postmas	er/feiter
	·		

FRANCE